IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

	44 - 44 1	1 150	<u>~</u>
_	Λ.	ℂ:	V
20 10 748 749	$\Lambda^{-\lambda}$	e la	þ
TOTOMANUM - 1		1. 4	Ч

Rodrekus Dequann Damon, #319084,	DESTRUCT COURT DISTRUCT OF SOUTH CAROLIN BISTRUCT OF SOUTH CAROLIN BILLION ESTEN, SO
Plaintiff,) C.A. No. 9:10-479-HMH-BM
VS.	OPINION & ORDER
Ms. A.F. Jackson; Ms. A.F. Mcouser,)
Defendants.)

This matter is before the court with the Report and Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local

Civil Rule 73.02 of the District of South Carolina.¹ Rodrekus Dequann Damon ("Damon"), a

state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging various

violations of his constitutional rights by Defendants. In his Report and Recommendation,

Magistrate Judge Marchant recommends dismissing Damon's complaint without prejudice and

without issuance and service of process. (Report & Recommendation 6.)

Damon filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. Objections to the Report and Recommendation must be specific. Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of a party's right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the recommendation is accepted by the district judge. See United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 & n.4 (4th Cir.

¹ The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility for making a final determination remains with the United States District Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the magistrate judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (2006).

1984). In the absence of <u>specific</u> objections to the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. <u>See</u>

Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Upon review, the court finds that Damon's objections are non-specific, unrelated to the dispositive portions of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, or merely restate his claims. Therefore, after a thorough review of the magistrate judge's Report and the record in this case, the court adopts Magistrate Judge Marchant's Report and Recommendation.

Therefore it is

ORDERED that Damon's complaint, docket number 1, is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Henry M. Herlong, Jr. Senior United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina April 8, 2010

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.